

## CASE STUDY

MaximOS™

Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company, CA

Parkson  
Disinfection

Powered by MIOX



# Apple Valley keeps chlorine residual in long distribution system with mixed oxidants

## Overview

Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company serves a community of approximately 45,000 people. Their drinking water supply comes from 22 well sites ranging from a depth of 250 to 400 feet. Flow rates range from 350,000 gallons per day (GPD) to 2.7 million GPD during peak usage. The raw water has a hardness of 29 mg/L to 408 mg/L and a pH of 7.6 to 8.7.

Apple Valley traditionally treated their water with chlorine bleach, in the form of 12.5% sodium hypochlorite. A desire for improved safety and elimination of all hazardous chemicals drove them to investigate on-site generation. They installed their first MIOX SAL-80 generator in 1996, with a total capacity of 10 pounds per day of free available chlorine (FAC). This was followed by DUAL-80 systems in 1998 and 1999, each with a capacity of 20 pounds FAC.

The disinfectant was designed for injection immediately before the water goes to distribution. The water system is set up on a pressure grid with elevated storage tanks into which all wells pump. The distribution system covers 43 square miles with the longest reach being 13 miles from the plant.

## Challenge

Apple Valley wanted to get away from the safety concerns associated with the high concentration of commercial bleach.

## Solution

The first MIOX SAL-80 system was installed in 1996 to evaluate on-site mixed-oxidant generation. The equipment resulted in improved safety, superior chlorine residual maintenance, lack of chlorine taste complaints, and reduced cost.

## Results

**Safety** – Disinfection with sodium hypochlorite involved handling a concentrated 12.5% bleach solution. Dealing with a hazardous material mandated several safety precautions, such as eyewash stations and safety training. In addition, bleach is highly corrosive, can cause chemical burns, will form a chlorine gas cloud if washed down with water, is classified as a RCRA waste, and requires documentation and reporting for leaks or spills. In contrast, mixed oxidants require only salt and power as feed stocks. Their SAL-80 mixed-oxidant solution was generated at a concentration of about 0.2%, thus does not require protective gear and will not burn the skin.

**Residual Maintenance** – Apple Valley's distribution system covers 43 square miles with a maximum distance of 6 miles from the disinfection stations (previously 13 miles). When using hypochlorite, they dosed 0.8 mg/L on Well #10 but had only a 0.1 mg/L residual 8 miles from the initial disinfection point. Thus, they had to boost with a 0.2 mg/L dose (for a total dose of 1 mg/L) to maintain a

COMPARISON	Gas Chlorine (before)	Mixed Oxidants (after)
Safety	12.5% commercial bleach mandated eyewash stations and other safety measures.	Dilute 0.2% concentration of mixed-oxidant solution eliminated handling of hazardous materials and associated safety regulations.
Residual Maintenance	Dose of 0.8 mg/L required boosting with an additional 0.2 mg/L to maintain residual over 13-mile stretch. Residual lasted only 5 days in remote storage tank.	Total dose reduced 40% to 0.6 mg/L for a 0.25 mg/L residual to all holding tanks with no boosting required. Residual maintained three times longer (15 days) in remote tank.
Taste & Odor	Approximately 11 taste complaints annually due to chlorine taste.	No taste complaints since installation.
Costs	Costs included chemical purchase and transportation.	Total costs reduced 12%. Only expenses are salt and power.

residual to the end of the 13-mile line. With mixed oxidants, their dose was only 0.6 mg/L at that well, a 40% reduction overall, with no boosting required for a stable 0.25 mg/L residual in the holding tanks. Mixed oxidants maintained a residual for up to 15 days in a one million gallon tank in a remote industrial area, whereas bleach only lasted for 5 days. As additional well sites are converted to mixed oxidant disinfection, the water company expects superior residual maintenance throughout their entire system.

**Taste & Odor** – Those areas treated with bleach would receive monthly complaints of a chlorinated taste to the water. After conversion to mixed oxidants, there were no more taste or odor complaints in the zones treated by MIOX disinfection.

**Costs** – The water company reports that mixed oxidants reduced operating costs by 12%. The combined cost of salt and power for mixed-oxidant generation was less than the cost of purchasing and transporting drums of bulk sodium hypochlorite. ■

"MIOX holds a lot longer in that zone than sodium hypochlorite – that's where we noticed it."

Scott Weldy,  
Superintendent



Fort Lauderdale  
Chicago  
Montreal  
Dubai  
Mumbai

1.888.PARKSON  
disinfection@parkson.com  
www.parkson.com